



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_16392
Title of the Manuscript:	LARVICIDAL POTENTIAL OF P. americana SEED EXTRACT AGAINST Aedes vittatus MOSQUITO
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	-Contradiction: The author(s) mentioned in the abstract they used 15 larvae but in the M&M line 69 they mentioned 25 larvae. It needs clarification -Inconsistency of using the units and the way of writing them - Conditions of GC and MS missing	15 larvae were used. In the abstract, it was opined that, the FTIR should be removed since the fractions are not pure, however, we felt that it is supportive of the GC/MS. Units are corrected and made consistent, highlighted in the reviewed manuscript
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- Inconsistency in format of the references in citation and reference list	JOURNAL FORMAT OBTAINED WAS FOLLOWED AS A GUIDE. The corrections are effected and highlighted in yellow.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	-Too many comments have been scribbled in the article please refer to it	CHECKED AND CORRECTIONS WERE EFFECTED. Line 104, comment A34, The fractions are partially purified, therefore no single spot was observed on the TLC plates.