



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_16846
Title of the Manuscript:	Soil properties and nutrient uptake of coffee seedlings as influenced by NPK fertilizer formulations in Ibadan, Southwest, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The author needs to correct the highlighted words in the manuscript. e.g. 5 ml, 10 ml, g /kg e.t.c. More literature should be cited to support the discussions The conclusion is too shallow. No comment about the solid NPK fertilizer and reasons why liquid fertilizer was preferred The table need some adjustment, so the author should effect the change	The units highlighted by the reviewer are okay there is no need to change them. Corrections had been effected We have improved on this in the revised version
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		