



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_16885
Title of the Manuscript:	Review of studies to identify common challenges militating against the attainment of health-related Millennium Development Goals in rural Nigeria;
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper is too lengthy and verbose. It should be reduced by half (about 5000 words), to avoid boring the readers. There are numerous grammatical and punctuation errors in the body of the work, which must be corrected to enhance the quality of the article. The use of reference numbers (as used) to mean author(s) in the body of the work is wrong and the error is appears in numerous places in the body of the work, including the use of 'ibid'. All references must strictly conform to the SDI referencing style! The map of Nigeria showing Niger Delta region is refutable. People from Abia, Cross River (northern part), Imo and Ondo States, do not regard themselves as coming from that region. I suggest the removal of the map. Fig. 2 could be written in prose form and the diagram expunged, since it does not add any extra information in the article. The outcome of the of the other two variables should also be reported to enhance the scope and reliability of the result (i.e. report the 6 variables instead of 4). The method used should be clearly and concisely presented. Discussion and conclusion should be rephrased to capture fully the essence of the research.	The 9,22 words include the work, references and appendices, the WORK is 5, 690 words. Reference errors are corrected. Ibid is completely expunged. Map and figure 2 are removed. The other two variables are not discussed to avoid too lengthy a paper. The analysis is thematic data analysis method. The title is re-casted as advised The study is not hypothetical, Brass Local Government Area is the rural area under review.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I suggest recasting the title to read 'Identification and review of common challenges militating against the attainment of health related Millennium Development Goals in rural Nigeria' There is nothing wrong in mentioning the particular State in question instead of making it look hypothetical.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		