



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_16885
Title of the Manuscript:	Review of studies to identify common challenges militating against the attainment of health-related Millennium Development Goals in rural Nigeria;
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
I commend the effort of the author(s) for the corrections made on the manuscript. However, some of the weaknesses noticed in the first version are still left undone. Example : 1. Most of the paragraphs are too short. Instead of short sentenced paragraphs, they should go through and merge some. 2. Methodology: The sources of data as indicted by the authors are both primary and secondary. The sampling technique is not clear. The steps used for the analysis need to be clarify and if possible the proses that clarify the steps need to be link up with the table. 3. The discussion is in line with above comments of short sentence paragraphs 4. Conclusion and recommendation: need to be tidy up 5. References not uniform and some are still incomplete Please check the manuscript and go through the review comments on the right side of the paper.	As commented, the short paragraphs are merged The steps used for the analysis are linked with each step of the table being separated Conclusion and recommendations are tidied and the references are also corrected except few that are inevitable.