



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_17032
Title of the Manuscript:	The effect of chronic <i>Prosopis glandulosa</i> treatment on muscle force development and fatigue tolerance in slow-twitch muscle
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. It's true that soleus muscle includes a lot of slow skeletal muscle compared to other muscle, however, the author did not examine the ratio of fast to slow skeletal muscle using histological analysis(SDH stain etc.). Their assessment can lead that prosopis glandulosa make an effect on <u>soleus muscle</u> rather than slow skeletal muscle. Do they examine the skeletal muscle which contains rich fast type of skeletal muscle? As they did not do these assessment, it is overstatement their title and discussion. 2. There are some errors in grammar especially abstract.	Dear reviewer, thank you for your input and valuable comments. We have addressed each of your queries. 1. We agree that histological analysis is eminent to confirm our theory of fiber type switch, however, we have not done these analysis. This has been addressed in the title, changing "slow-twitch" to soleus. 2. Grammatical errors have been addressed.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		