



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_17446
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF NORMAL LOAD ON NANOTRIBOLOGY OF ZINC SULPHIDE (ZnS) AND CADMIUM SULPHIDE (CdS)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I did not find any novelty in this paper. People worked on "EFFECTS OF TEMPERATURE ON THE NANOTRIBOLOGY OF ZnS AND CdS", Journal of Science and Arts Year 12, No. 1(18), pp. 51-56, 2012. The paper has some grammatical errors and badly constructed sentences	The work referred to in this comment which was published in 2012 by JOSA is ours. In this work which we sent to you, we worked on a different parameter which is Normal Load. The reviewer did not give any particular example of grammatical error he meant. Hence no reaction on this.