



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_17484
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Foundry Sand and Natural Pozzolans on the Mechanical, Durability and Micro-structural Properties of Lightweight Concrete
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Figure 6 shows an increase in DS, so correct in the graph % increase DS NOT <u>decrease in DS</u>	Corrected
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 184: increase NOT <u>increse</u> Show the origin (region) of materials used	Revised as suggested Addressed in section 2.0 and highlighted the text
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	it is desirable to introduce the XRD patterns of the materials used in this study	XRD results of the Portland cement and volcanic ash based blended cement are presented in Table 1. Authors think that addition of XRD patterns will not improve the technical merit of the paper.