



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_17678
Title of the Manuscript:	Arabic Text Summarization using Latent Semantic Analysis
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	There are many couples of words in the text that are joined together. These words should be separated from each other.	I appreciate your positive comments, in fact, I learned from you instructions; also, my desire is to learn from others. On the basis of your instructions: I rechecked the text and I tried to separate all collapsed words by spaces.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The Abstract and Introduction should be re-written in order to allow for non-expert scientists to clearly understand the aims and scopes of this work.	I re-wrote specific part of the introduction in a way that to be suitable for a person who has a reasonable background in math and text processing to understand the aim and the scopes of this work. In fact, I can re-write them in very general terms to allow for non-expert scientists to clearly understand the aims and scopes of this work, but I am hesitated to do so in terms of underestimating the manuscript.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		