



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_17754
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE STUDY OF THE LEACHABILITY OF HEAVY METALS FROM SEWAGE SLUDGE, SAWDUST AND ORGANIC FRACTION OF SOLID WASTE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments 	Abstract: - Did you see any statistical variations of heavy metals in the three media? Methodology - Were the variations of heavy metals concentrations significant in the three media? - Did the concentration results correlate to one other?	A correlation analysis and analysis of variance were performed on the data. See Lines 26 -29 & Lines 231 – 244.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 391, 393, 400, 405, 407, 409, 414, 416, 422, 425, 428, - Insert Issue. No, Line 398, insert both Vol. No and issue No	All missing volume and issue numbers have been supplied except for Tervahauta, T. Rani, S. Hernández, L. Buisman, C. and Zeeman, G. (2014) which has no issue number. You may verify this. All changes were highlighted in yellow.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments .	This is a good manuscript if the corrects are done	