



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_17876
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of Tupler Technique on Reducing Post Natal Diastasis Recti
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	It will properly give guide for the management or reducing the size of post natal diastasis recti following delivery of the baby.	thanks
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Efficacy of Tupler Technique on Reducing Post Natal Diastasis Recti The title of the article is excellent and it carries a valuable informative message to the gynaecologist/physicians or any medical professionals who deals with woman during their pregnancy period and following delivery of their baby. It will properly give guide for the management or reducing the size of post natal diastasis recti following delivery of the baby. The article can be accepted for publication in BJAST of the next issue following proposed modification. • There is some spelling mistakes found in the entire paper. It needs s revision. • Revision of grammar is mandatory. • Themes of the sentences are not fully clarified to the readers. It needs revision. • The article submitted not properly maintained the format of the journal. ----- • It is necessary to mention the authors' contribution. • Are there any conflicts of interest in this study?	thanks The correction was done ----- The correction was done no -----



SDI Review Form 1.6

	----- • What is about funding of the study? • It is not mentioned about the funding of the study. • The citation quoted not appropriately maintained the format of the journal ---in the text/discussion/reference list. • What are the limitations of the study? • <u>The value of P must be in italic form and it should be expressed as 0.0004(for example), not <0.001.</u>	There was no fund for this study , we depend on our facilities. The correction was done Psychological state of the female might affect the results The correction was done, thanks
--	---	---