



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_17970
Title of the Manuscript:	Obtained yield and financial parameters of organically grown Mint in the Republic of Macedonia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR’S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors’ response to final evaluator’s comments
-The manuscript still has some errors. Abstract : In methodology explain when, where and how the experiment was done, the results improve writing and the conclusion it’s very long. Write only two and three lines. Introduction: Add world production and value production. Materials and methods Line 48 to 56 are not materials and methods Temperature data is better make a graph Results and discussions: Compare the results of this research with others authors Conclusion Improve writing is large	I made changes to the text. Inserted data on world production volume and countries that contribute to the world production The quoted lines are not M&M. And they ARE NOT part of M&M in my paper as well. They are in the introduction part. Take a look. Any suggestion with which Macedonian author? I hope you’re not implicating I should compare obtained results from soil & weather conditions in Ovche Pole in Macedonia with other location with unknown (to me) soil & weather conditions. I encourage you to point me with a published research from Macedonia, but unfortunately I have not encountered to such. I’ll be more than glad to comply and insert the suggested.