



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_18434
Title of the Manuscript:	Adoption of eLearning Technology in Nigerian Tertiary Institution of Learning
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Table. 2. Remove number in front of each concept and remove symbols. Table. 4. Only Cronbach's alpha is enough. Remove other values from table. Table 7. RMSEA is more than acceptance value. Justify why is it. In conclusion. You discussed on the result only. Please explain in one paragraph, what is the advantage and contribution of this study, and who benefits from this study.	Removed Removed I have included in one paragraph on the advantage and contribution of this study, and who benefits from the study. I cannot really factor out why the value of RMSEA is so large based on my level of understanding. It is what I obtained by using Listrel software. But according to Bagozzi & Dholakia (2002) a model is considered appropriate when its GFI, AGFI, and CFI are greater than 0.9 and its RMR and RMSEA are between 0.05 and 0.08. So I may have to remove it to avoid confusion. R.B. Bagozzi, U.M. Dholakia, Intentional social action in virtual communities, Journal of Interactive Marketing 16 (2), 2002, pp. 2-21.