



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_18466
Title of the Manuscript:	Urban Noise Pollution in Nigerian Cities: Imperatives for Abatement
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	*Table 1 and figure 1 should be referred in the text (Page 4, Line 101). *It should be written an explanation to Table 1 (Page 4, line 102). * It would be better if the roads shown in Figure 2 (Page 6) * The legend of Figure 2 should be rearranged (The size of the letters should be minimized) *References should be checked. (Pickles 1987, Shaw 1972, Onnu and Menkiti 1993, Bies 1988 ? 1981 this references aren't in references section	These suggestions has been effected and are highlighted in the work. I have checked through the work/document. I wish to mention here that these references are not in my work. So I don't know where the reviewer got them.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		