



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_19147
Title of the Manuscript:	Useful Working Fluids for Feasible Super Efficient Trilateral Cycles
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1) The digits in Table 1 should be consistent. 2) More literature should be discussed in the Introduction part	1) The digits of table 1 modified to be consistent 2) The reference [9] Ramon Ferreiro Garcia, Beatriz Ferreiro Sanz. The behaviour of some working fluids applied on the Trilateral Cycles With Isothermal Controlled Expansion. British Journal of Applied Science & Technology. 2015; 9(5): 450-463, provides the basis for the development of this article. Consequently, it has a number of references relate previous advances on the researched topic. References have been checked again and we did not find related material to be referred. As you can observe, the research field considered in this paper is relatively new. As consequence, the included references are related with the contribution and with the research field of the paper. Thus, references [1-4] concern to trilateral cycles, reference [5] concerns to special reciprocating engines, reference [6] isothermal expansion, reference [7] is the unique published work that consider defying the Carnot factor, while references [8-9] are directly related with



SDI Review Form 1.6

		the contribution of the paper. Consequently we regret to inform you that we could not find any useful related reference. On the topic described in this paper, besides those references belonging to paper [9].
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		