



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_19232
Title of the Manuscript:	Ambient air PM10 particulate levels at Ashaiman near Tema in Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1. In Abstract/Results replace "factions" by "fractions". 2. Page 3, replace "(EC) [4]" by "(EC)) [4]". 3. Table 2: i) units for PM? ng?; ii) please add also - fine, -coarse in PH headers. 4. Page 7 $r^2=83$, should be $r^2=0.83$ 5. Page 8. Spell Harmattan (first line, capital H). 6. References: i) missing between 16 & 17: Malm et al.; ii) between 21 & 22: Xia et al.... Thank you PS In general the paper is interesting, catches differences in sources of PM by seasons.	1. Corrections made 2. Correction made 3. i) Yes PM unit is ng/m³ ii) Coarse and fin added 4. Correction made 5. Correction made 6. Corrections made
Optional/General comments		