



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_19710
Title of the Manuscript:	Periodic Acid Schiff reactions and general tissue morphology of conventionally-processed versus two rapid microwave-processed tissues
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The revised manuscript addressed all my concerns, except compulsory comment #4. 1. I realize that the authors used 2mm thick samples for their experiments since they can be processed by microwave method. However this size limitation needs to be mentioned in the discussion. Their replayed to my comment "However, the microwave technique is efficient on a tissue of a thickness of up to 2mm. Therefore, if a tissue has a dimention of 15mmX 10mm X 2mm, it is effective on the microwave technique as the concern here is the thickness and not necessarily the lengthn or breadth". The authors should mention this in the discussion. For laboratories that work with human or large animals tissues, sample thickness in considerable larger the 2mm. Thus to process the sample the tissue will have to be sliced before processing, which may disrupt an area of interest. That being said, for applications that can use small and relatively thin samples the microwave method would be adequate for.	Sir, we have now placed this limitation in the work as suggested. We have also placed it under the subheading of limitation. Researchers who want to carry out a similar experiment with thicker tissues can adjust the timing per station