



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_19722
Title of the Manuscript:	Image Zooming Algorithms Based on Granular Computing with l_∞-norm
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This manuscript presents an interesting work on how to zoom a photo, from a single low resolution image, by using granular computing (GrC) with L_∞-norm. However, there are a few suggestions for improvements: 1) There are a few typos and grammatical errors in this manuscript. Please proofread before resubmission. 2) What are the differences between the proposed method with the method proposed by: Hongbing Liu, Fan Zhang, Chang-an Wu, and Jun Huang, "Image superresolution reconstruction via granular computing clustering", Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience, vol. 2014, article ID 219636, 10pages. Please also use this method as one of the method for the benchmark, as this is a similar and recent method.	The differences between the proposed method and the method by Liu H are: (a) the different shapes of granules, the granule induced by the L_∞-norm has the shape of hyperdiamond in the paper, and the granule by Liu H is induced by L_2-norm and has the shape of hypersphere. (b) the different parameter, GrC in the paper has the larger granularity threshold compared with GrC by Liu H.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		