



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_20289
Title of the Manuscript:	DYNAMIC BIPLLOT. EVOLUTION OF THE ECONOMIC FREEDOM IN THE EUROPEAN UNION
Type of the Article	1. Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript contains a lot of results, which are well presented. The methodology (working approach), discussion and conclusions are believable, clear, and sound. I recommend acceptance of this manuscript to be published after minor revision. I have 5 suggestions of this manuscript: 1. The capital and lowercase letter of this chapter and section should be unified. 2. The authors need to explain more what is the ultimate objective with this manuscript? It should be highlighted. 3. The argument of the mathematic model is precise and the definition of statistical analysis model is also quite clear. If authors can analyze the different economic problem in the other countries in the future, the consequence would be better.	1. Reviewed and unified. 2. Line 55. 3. The purpose of the authors is to show the statistical model. The technique can be used to analyze economic problems, demographic problems, etc.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	4. Authors can increase and describe the valuable results more in the “Conclusions” section. How can the results be used for practical application? Authors can describe more in the conclusion.	4. Added a conclusion. Other conclusions are not shown due to space limitations.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		