



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_20289
Title of the Manuscript:	DYNAMIC BIPLLOT. EVOLUTION OF THE ECONOMIC FREEDOM IN THE EUROPEAN UNION
Type of the Article	1. Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article is interesting , However the author need to address the following: 1. What standard was used in carrying the research? 2. Why did the author use 2013 as the reference point for the research? Activity that has been carried out is always reported in past tense, but the author used present tense in some places. E,g. Line 72 – we will; Line 96 – The nomenclature we will use..., Line 109 – 111 etc.	1. Explained on line 31 et seq. 2. We used the year 2013 to be the closest of the data processed. The method allows to set the baseline for any of the periods. Line 294. 3. Modified.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Correct all typographical errors	1. Corrected.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The Article can be published after all the corrections are done.	