



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_20442
Title of the Manuscript:	Chemical composition of essential oils from the stem barks of three Cinnamomum species
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript Ms_BJAST_20442 entitled "Chemical composition of essential oils from the stem barks of three Cinnamomum species" was presented for the review. The manuscript is well designed, it is based on well defined hypothesis that are later correctly verified and eventually confirmed. The selection of the research substances (Cinnamomum essential oils) are suitable, and allowed reliable results about their chemical composition. The description of the methods contains sufficient details and it seems that all experiments were conducted according to good laboratory standards. The discussion is compact, logical and easy to follow. The conclusion is well formulated. There are some minor mistakes in writing, inconsistency regarding instructions to authors-(references). In my opinion the manuscript should be accepted for the publication in the British Journal of Applied Science & Technology after minor revision.	The typographical mistakes as well the style of referencing were corrected
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Comments and suggestions are given in the PDF proof of this manuscript	Corrections were made in the manuscript
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Comments and suggestions are given in the PDF proof of this manuscript.	All corrections emanating from the comments have been done in the manuscript