SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJAST_20497**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Modern Warming In Middle Asia As Atmospheric Circulation Change Development**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The article is very interesting. Authors described as to how the beginning of the current warming in Middle Asia; studied about trends in atmospheric circulation forms on the basis of interannual changes of duration of synoptic processes in Middle Asia over the last 60 years. The Gap of work needs more descriptions. ***Authors are required to add / amend followings:*** **ABSTRACT** The abstract should be written describing the work background gap of work and its results validation not poitwise. **INTRODUCTION** References [2], [3] are again added after [1-4] in the text. It should to be placed in sequential order and duplicity of references should be avoided in the text.	We agree with your comments. They are eliminated to the extent possible.
Optional/General comments	Article is well structured and considered to be good for publication after incorporating all above suggestions / minor revisions.	