



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_20497
Title of the Manuscript:	Modern Warming In Middle Asia As Atmospheric Circulation Change Development
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The software used for the analysis of data under this study should be mentioned in Section 2 Correct Fig 2. The increase in temperature is statistically significant, mention whether increase in precipitation is also statistically significant or not. The precipitation trend does not show increasing trend in Fig The statistically analysis of data did not explain in discussion section	We agree with your comments. They are eliminated to the extent possible. The methodology explained. It stated that the increase in precipitation is not significant value.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		