



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_21818
Title of the Manuscript:	Climate Variability and Change in the Bamenda Highlands of North Western Cameroon: perceptions, impacts and coping mechanisms
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Title is clear Key words : Add Cameroon Introduction is comprehensible. Materials and Methods is not clearly established : Data Collection: gives reference Gives more explication: The annual precipitation and temperature trends were analyzed using Excel and SPSS statistical package. Results and Discussion :Results are consistent Conclusion is representative	See [22] and [23] I think this is a standard way of presenting this kind of information. See for example, journals of Elsevier, Climate Change, ...
Optional/General comments		