



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_21818
Title of the Manuscript:	Climate Variability and Change in the Bamenda Highlands of North Western Cameroon: perceptions, impacts and coping mechanisms
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Fig2 reveals that except 2003 the rainfall did not decrease, Temperature remains constant except in 1996 as presented in the conclusion Table 4 shows that duration of dry and rainy seasons has increased reported by 80% respondent which is contradictory The conclusion should be data based and precise The crops are drying as mentioned in the text, the data analysis did not reflect it	Yes, in this case, we were comparing; local perception with formal data. "Increase" used to contradict indigenous perceptions from formal perception which, I think is good for current and future research. Right now, the rains are severe and one does not know when this will subside. Many Researchers are still observing. How formal measurements are taken, precautions taken, frequency and the qualification of those responsible is yet to be investigated. This is the function of the abstract This correlates with farmer's perception. Details on variation between indigenous perception and formal perception are beyond the scope of this paper. It will employ another methodology. We need more data. I have added this in the conclusion as direction for future research. Thanks for the remark.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	The weather data does not show any serious problem as aggravated in the text	This simply shows how a small change in temperature can lead to more than enough change in our society. I strongly support this local perception for I am a living example.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		