



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_15437
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ROLE OF RADIOACTIVE IODINE IN THYROTOXICOSIS PATIENTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	ABSTRACT: Study design, retrospective, observational etc. Please state this clearly. Check spellings and tenses. Avoid starting sentences with numbers in figures. Re-phrase the conclusion. INTRODUCTION: Re-phrase sentences, check spellings. MATERIALS AND METHODS: How was data collected? Please state in a logical chronological manner. Avoid parentheses. Nuclear medicine what? Scan or therapy? Please note I-123 is not used in therapy. Please check your facts including what radionuclide was used for the thyroid uptake scan. Clarify what is meant by rate of treatment. RESULTS: Rephrase most of the tenses. Avoid including discussion within the results. It is noted that 60% of the patients were on thyroxin. This is an indication of good response to treatment (line 162). How? Please review this and many other similar statements. DISCUSSION: Make this section more focussed particularly paying more attention to your results and how it relates to available literature.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		