



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_15437
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ROLE OF RADIOACTIVE IODINE IN THYROTOXICOSIS PATIENTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
In general, the manuscript is written in poor English, sections Results and Discussion are not written in a proper way, some statements are wrong. The authors did not make the revision of the manuscript as it was requested. According to all of this manuscript is not in a shape for publication. Specific remarks: The manuscript is written in poor English and need general revision. The authors did not make it as it was requested. There are basic grammatic errors in every single paragraph. Abstract: The number of 39 examined patients is wrong. I noted this in previous revision, but again, the authors didn' t make anything to change it. The number of 21 patients is the number of patients who were examined and their results are shown in each table. According to this, the authors had to change 39 into 21-they did not. Besides, there is not a single fact showing that 39 patients have anything to do with the obtained results. Material and methods: the statement “ ...the treatment I-123 was given” is not correct. The I-131 was an isotope which was used for the treatment, not I-123! Results: There is not a single table with exact demographics including: how many females, males, age of patients (min-max), different types of thyroid disorder which were determined according to the “ radiography scintigraphy” as it is stated, how many courses of I-131 treatments were performed, the cumulative doses per patient etc. The authors inserted tables and graphs in Results, but they also discussed the matter which is not the proper way of writing. Discussion: contains statements which are not relevant for the main goal of this study and for the results. There is no clear conclusion which comes out of previous results and discussion.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Oncology Institute of Vojvodina, Serbia