



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_15634
Title of the Manuscript:	Microcontroller Based Office Visitor Notifier System (MBONS)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The contents presented in this paper are interesting but mainly widely-known in this area. The paper should be revised carefully. Following are some aspects for the authors to consider: 1. Some grammatical mistakes should be revised. For example ,the word "design" should be "designed" in line 119. 2. We think the table 1 is usefulness for this paper. We can find it in the chip datasheet. 3. The knowledge is common from line 118 to line 176. The paper should focus on the designed system. 4. The system is used by many users. So the communication protocol is very important. The paper should give the description for the protocol. And the hardware connection diagram for the whole system should be presented. 5. The paper should focus on presenting the principle of designed system.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wang Lei
Department, University & Country	School of Computer Science and Technology, Henan Polytechnic University, China