



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_17447
Title of the Manuscript:	The effects of active myofascial trigger point on anticipatory postural control
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
There is substantial improvement in language from the previous version. However, there are still many grammar errors, typos, missing words, and etc. Also many sentences do not conveying idea/concept well. The flow of writing still deserves significant consideration. If the authors intend to publish their work in an English language journal, help from English writing professional is a must. Reply to comments from the authors are hard to trace givens the extremely limited, non-informative responses. Also a few comments are left unaddressed. Further, the revision brings in a few new issues (see below). Introduction: 1) Some materials are identical to the Discussion section. Introduction usually provides only the major findings of the cited studies while in the Discussion section, you provide more details of the key cited studies and how your study is compared to them. Methods/Subjects 1) Table 1 is not inclusion criteria but rather exclusions if the word "No" is removed. Instead, the "Diagnostic steps" look more like the inclusion criteria. Methods/Equipment 1) Force platform: what is the significance of ± 1 cm in terms of "the substantial steps of experiments"? And what are "the substantial steps" and purposes with respect to sEMG data acquisition? 2) The custom-designed system is more important than the force platform as the system was the one actually used during sEMG data acquisition, not the force platform. As so, the custom-designed system should be the subheading for the section. Methods/Statistical Analysis 1) "$\leq$" or "$<$" 0.05? Results 1) Please remove all "$P > 0.05$". There is no need of them. 2) There is no need to have numbers listed in the text body again when you already presented them in figures. Among text/table/figure, you can only choose one to show your results. 3) Figure convention: Asterisk is only needed when there is statistical significance. It is unconventional to use "*" to describe insignificance. Also if there is no "*" in the figure, no need to mention "Asterisk". However, you did not draw any "*" in your figures?	



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

4) Because reaction time is a single value, it is no need to have a figure for it. Discussion: 1) The content seems reasonable but the flow of writing is really hard to follow. Asking one of your colleagues who has no idea of your study to read through your manuscript is very helpful to find out if you convey your idea clearly (I do it a lot). 2) Usually we don't introduce new concept (for example APA) in the Discussion section. It needs to be mentioned in the Introduction section.	
---	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ting Xia
Department, University & Country	Palmer Chiropractic University, USA