SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_BJAST_17754**
Title of the Manuscript:	**COMPARATIVE STUDY OF THE LEACHABILITY OF HEAVY METALS FROM SEWAGE SLUDGE, SAWDUST AND ORGANIC FRACTION OF SOLID WASTE**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Line 10:** organic fraction of municipal solid waste (OFMSW) Line 41: Longe et al., 2009 Line 47: Schnoor, 1996 Line 69: Aluko et al., 2003 Line 96: in Line 105: O'Leary &Walsh,1995 Line 147: what is the oven temp Line 183: Poznyak et al., year Line 324: leachates	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	I seem not to get the objective(s) of the work	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**Ghana**