SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_BJAST_17754**
Title of the Manuscript:	**COMPARATIVE STUDY OF THE LEACHABILITY OF HEAVY METALS FROM SEWAGE SLUDGE, SAWDUST AND ORGANIC FRACTION OF SOLID WASTE**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments •	**Abstrct:** • **Did you see any statistical variations of heavy metals in the three media?** **Methodology** • **Were the variations of heavy metals concentrations significant in the three media?** • **Did the concentration results correlate to one other?**	
Minor REVISION comments	Line 391, 393, 400, 405, 407, 409, 414, 416, 422, 425, 428, - Insert Issue. No, Line 398, insert both Vol. No and issue No	
Optional/General comments .	This is a good manuscript if the corrects are done	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**Kenya**