



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_18466
Title of the Manuscript:	Urban Noise Pollution in Nigerian Cities: Imperatives for Abatement
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Line 97, page 3: the equation must be numbered clearly in the article. 2. This is an academic review paper. More case studies and discussions are necessary. Hence, it seems that a more detailed and quantitative analysis is needed in this manuscript. In doing this, it will be easier for the reader to understand the meaning that the author(s) want to express. 3. There is not enough information of the respondents shown on Table 2. Only the sexes with varying levels of educational background and mixed occupational groupings were discussed in the case study. How about the ages of the respondents and evaluating time? Different ages always have the different endure degree of the noise pollution. Are they in the commerce or residential area? In many countries, there are also different noise tolerances between daytime and night time in their urban cities. 4. The reviewer thinks that only 3 and 7 respondents in airport on Table 3 are insufficient sampling. 5. The conclusions should be revised, and the	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	quantitative results must be shown.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shao-Yi Hsia
Department, University & Country	Department of Mechanical & Automation Engineering, Kao-Yuan University, Taiwan