



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_18831
Title of the Manuscript:	Increasing Fruit Set, Yield and Fruit Quality of "Canino" Apricot Trees under Two Different soil Conditions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	THE CORRECTIONS In the 4.line:) , (Comma) must be), In the 9.line: soluble CaCl₂ chelated In the 10. Line: two concentrations(1&2cm³). Results Key word: Apricot, calcium, In the introduction: "Canino" apricot membranes. Researches 2007). Boron bloom. Sprays the control.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	punctuation marks must be used correctly...	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The author should control all the paper carefully from the point of punctuation marks.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	University Of Mersin, Turkey