



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_20442
Title of the Manuscript:	Chemical composition of essential oils from the stem barks of three Cinnamomum species
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This experiment looks interesting and very useful for further experimental applications. Unfortunately, authors explained only the oil compositions from their extraction that were different from the results obtained previously from the essential oils of <i>Cinnamomum</i> species grown in Vietnam and other parts of the world. Please explain further application from your findings which may be useful for medical alternative adjuvant therapy.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I have only one suggestion about the Cinnamomum oil extracts that author got from your experiments, what is the advantage from your finding compare with previous finding.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	No	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Natthanej Luplertlop
Department, University & Country	Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Mahidol University, Thailand