



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_16941
Title of the Manuscript:	MICROBIAL AND PHYSICO-CHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF WATER FROM BOREHOLES IN MOSIMI AND ENVIRONS, OGUN STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. There is need for consistency in citing the references in the paper. Some are in () while some are in { }. 2. In Line 99, 100, there should be spacing between quantities and units. Same for lines 114 to 118. 3. Table 2 and 3, the use of mg/L are not consistent. 4. Reference No. 2, line 182 should follow the reference style adopted.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Line 100, 37°C, should be well written. Same for lines 120-121, 142 (the underline should be removed). 2. Table 1, line 159, the Nigerian standard for drinking water quality should be converted to the same units as in Table 1. 3. There should be an explanation why some of the quantities/readings are marked red colour in Table1 and 2. 4. Table 2 and 3, the use of mg/L are not consistent	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	O.D. Adeniyi
Department, University & Country	Chemical Engineering Department, Federal University of Technology, Nigeria