

Overall Comments

- ☐ Moderate written article however the message deliver is still straight forward and easy to understand
 - ☐ A lot of grammatical error are found
 - ☐ In my view, this manuscript has **little contribution** to be considered as a scientific article and the author have done not much work in his research. However, I recommend the manuscript accept for publication after **MAJOR** revision.
- and specific comments--- Ref

SDetails er manuscript (pay attention to highlighted part).

Title

General Comments

- ☐ Appropriate
- ☐ Suggestion title: Chemical Mutagenesis of Microalgae *Nannochloropsis* sp. using EMS (Ethyl Methanesulfonate)

Abstract

- ☐ Moderate. Some of the main findings of the research work is not included in the abstract

Keywords:

- ☐ Appropriate

Introduction

- ☐ Acceptable

Material and Methods

- ☐ Good and sufficiently well explained and easy to understand but with minor mistake
- ☐ No statistical analysis was used. Kindly include statistical analysis so that the significant of the results can be determined
- ☐ Number of sample replicates was not mention

Results and Discussions

- ☐ Moderate interpreted and related to existing knowledge on the topic but lack of statistical test.
- ☐ Well presented and easy to understand but some important results are not discuss.
- ☐ More elaboration and discussion are required.

Conclusions

- ☐ The conclusions are sound and justified
- ☐ The conclusions are acceptable

References/Bibliography

- ☐ Appropriate
- ☐ Some references did not cite in the text and did not include in the reference list.
- ☐ Reference list should arrange according to alphabetical