



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_9809
Title of the Manuscript:	REGIONAL STRATIGRAPHIC INTERPRETATION OF AN ANTARCTIC SHELF EDGE SEISMIC LINES
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Paper sound Good, which shows authours strong technical abilties, figures are exceptionally more instead of innovating a new mythology rather than description of already things	
Minor REVISION comments	should need to improve the geology and Physiography of the Antarctic Continental Shelf Section such that cites more references in this section	
Optional/General comments	Nice effort keep it up	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	China University Of Geosciences (Wuhan), China