



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_12563
Title of the Manuscript:	ISOTROPY OF SOME POTASSIUM, SODIUM AND RUBIDIUM COMPOUNDS
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	(1) In introduction parts some more theory required for clearing the basic idea of present research work. (2) In introduction part in line four mathematical symbols is not readable mark with yellow colour. (3) In calculation parts important mathematical terms must required by which author calculate the reported values. (4) Methodology part also required for good Impact of the paper. (5) Result part not reported it essential for Further research. (6) Some more elastic constant required to calculate and add in this paper. (7) In reference part reference [7] not complete. (8) Lot of work has done on using same methodology so recent reference required for good impact of the paper	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Over all paper is average but if the correction removed, mention above then this paper recommend for publication	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	India