SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_BJAST_16577**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Proximate contents of Bambara Groundnut Vigna subterranean (L.)Verdc)grown in Madobi, Kano state-Nigeria**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The title of the paper should be re-touched as indicated in the reviewed manuscript. See corrections on lines 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 16, 17, 18, 19. State source of your comment on 22 – 24. ie author(s) and year See corrections on lines 26, 27, Alhassan et al., Year? Cross-check Correct lines 32, 35, 30, 41, 42, 45. Line 46 ⇒ 1st Madabi town	

SDI Review Form 1.6

	Modify L.S.R.?? See corrections on line 52, 53, 54, 57, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 75, 76, 77, 78, 80, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 94, 97, 98 Re-structure your conclusion and recommendation to on your results. Cross-check the authors' names on line 110 See corrections on lines 143, 162.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Brendan I. Odo**
Department, University & Country	**Department of Animal/Fisheries Science and Management, Enugu State University of Science and Technology, Nigeria**