



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_12468
Title of the Manuscript:	NEW ORGANIC POLYMERS AS GREEN CORROSION INHIBITORS OF brass IN COOLING WATER SYSTEM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In the preliminary review I compare the submitted article with the paper published in ACADEMIA ROMANA 2013, 58(9-10), 747-757. The authors modify only a little things and made adaptations for brass results. This is noted in lines 17 and 18 of the abstract where they told about the adsorption behaviour of carbon steel instead brass. I think the article must be rejected due to its previous publication in other journal (I do not know if they are the authors of both papers)	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Autonomous University of Baja California, Mexico