



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_17947
Title of the Manuscript:	Strengthening Human Capacity–Tool for Overcoming Decrease in Interest for Organic Farming
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1) The article is important, but there is a serious lack in the data set and interpretation. Namely, there is nothing about the sale of organic Macedonian products in Macedonia and abroad (export). I suppose that it is an important reason why Macedonian organic farming is decreasing. If there is no market for the organic products, the sector can't grow. Please look for these data in last 5 years and add in your article. Try to explain the trends & opportunities in this matter. 2) The tables 3 and 4 are not completely clear. Please explain better what did you expect with these questions, what kind of conclusions can be drawn from the answers. 3) From your description I guess that you have asked mostly conventional farmers in your survey, if they are using NPK, what is forbidden in organic farming. What about the organic farmers? Did you ask them why they converted and why they stopped being organic? It would be extremely interesting! 4) Your conclusions are probably right - the advisory system in Macedonia is not good and should be improved. But you should show more data from the survey confirming this conclusion. 5) Please attach the text of a survey in the	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	annex. It is not clear which questions were really asked. 6) You write about small and medium farmers but you don't give any results – it is very interesting issue -their opinions can differ. 7) You write about a necessity to provide the programs for young farmers, but you don't write anything about the opinions of young farmers. Please include them into your study. 8) English language should be checked again by a native speaker or a very skilled person.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Warsaw Univ. of Life Sciences, Poland