



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_14837
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Tillage Systems and Tithonia diversifolia Mulch on Soil Physical and Chemical Properties, Growth and Cocoyam Yield in a Tropical Alfisol
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The research is not novel and has a more practical application of that science, as there is no novelty in the research. The results are nothing new but seem to be important for the country where the work was done. The research was well executed and the results were well discussed and explored. As the results of soil physics, I believe that may not be understood as definitive because only two years experiment is to have results very little physical soil. Report that the literature does not use more units in% (this should be corrected by the authors)	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	João Tavares-Filho
Department, University & Country	Agronomy, State University of Londrina, Brazil