



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_12563
Title of the Manuscript:	ISOTROPY OF SOME POTASSIUM, SODIUM AND RUBIDIUM COMPOUNDS
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Need major corrections in the conclusion and reference part. “ we can say that” “we can conclude” “we can say in general” “Ribidium compound are” “we can notice by considering “ “ least strong” In the references section space will be changed And 7th reference shows Volume, 11, Springer-Verlag. Pp 163-166, (2001)	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	7 ,11 and 12th line - Ribidium compounds 15th line shows - and the in the case In the first table 12,and in the second table 10,the chemical name shows SODIUM THIOANTIMONATE, Potassium Niobate - kNbO_3,but it shows	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	potassium Niobate fluoride -KNbo3 Table 2 heading goes to the second page (1,2,3,4,5,6),--After bracket comma will not come	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Lines are not evenly spaced	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S.Sandy Subala
Department, University & Country	Chemistry, St.Joseph University inTanzania- Arusha Campus, USA