



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_17091
Title of the Manuscript:	The Engineering of an Undergraduate Nuclear Education Program in Jordan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comments	Author's comment (<i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I assume that IAEA in the first paragraph of the Introduction stands for International Atomic Energy Agency – the author(s) might want to spell this out.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This is an interesting article on a pedagogical topic, although I would not classify it as research <i>per se</i> .	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thomas F. George
Department, University & Country	Departments of Chemistry & Biochemistry and Physics University of Missouri-St. Louis, USA