SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_BJAST_16778**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Simulation and Comparison of the performance of refrigerant fluids in single stage vapor compression refrigeration system**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The body text needs to be divided in several paragraphs in order to enable a better reading of the experiment**.**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	After introducing the motivation, objectives and concepts, a mathematical model was clearly presented. The flow chart of the simulation program presented elucidates adequately the followed methodology. The study and conclusions are important in the field.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Alcinia Zita Sampaio**
Department, University & Country	**Technical University of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal**