SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_BJAST_16217**
Title of the Manuscript:	**CHEMICAL AND MINERALOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF CLAYEY DEPOSITS FOR TRADITIONAL POTTERY AND BRICKWORKS IN THE NORTH WEST REGION OF CAMEROON (CENTRAL AFRICA)**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper in general is well written. However, it is too lengthy by containing much unwanted information for current study. The authors should revise the paper as follows: 1. Limit the number of figures 1-6 to the necessary figures, about 3 only. 2. Write a useful methodology and focus on the needed information. 3. The results of some samples are missing. Every result should include four samples (T1, T2, T6 and T7). 4. Discuss the results in accordance with the figures that must come from the measurements.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**Malaysia**