SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_BJAST_17091**
Title of the Manuscript:	**The Engineering of an Undergraduate Nuclear Education Program in Jordan**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The Manuscript describes the organization, structure and functions of a new institution to educate qualified staff for nuclear power plant, which will be constructed in Jordan in near future. It seems no new technique or new application in the content of manuscript fitting to the scope of British Journal of Applied Science & Technology.**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Recep Tapramaz**
Department, University & Country	**Department of Physics, OndokuzMayis University, Turkey**