SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJAST_14606**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effects of Coconut Milk Substitution with Soymilk on the Shelf Life of 'Nasi Lemak'**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The manuscript investigates the effect of coconut milk substitution with soymilk on the shelf life of 'Nasi Lemak'. It is an interesting study that deserves publication. However, there are some issues that should be addressed prior final consideration.** **1) The Results & Discussion section should be rewritten in order to be clear and concise. The authors should focus on the significant findings of their study, avoiding unnecessary repetitions. I also suggest combining the various parts of this section to a uniform text.** **2) English language should be checked and improved throughout the whole text. There are many grammar and syntax errors.**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Yiannis Kourkoutas**
Department, University & Country	**Department of Molecular Biology & Genetics, Democritus University of Thrace, Greece**