



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_15958
Title of the Manuscript:	Discovery of pyroclastic surge deposits in Bamenda volcano (Bambili, West-Cameroon, Cameroon volcanic Line): importance in chronostratigraphy of the massif
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	All of the figures should be checked for visibility and clarity. Most of the figures; especially maps and microphotos should be extended to width of the main text (Extend ratio may be 40%). There is not Material and Method section. The section is important for a scientific paper. Which methods or materials were used during the study?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There are spelling mistakes. Generally Language used in the text should be reviewed by a referee who is native English. In abstract line 4: raging→ranging Introduction Section First two paragraphs were given as All Caps. None of the text block were not given All caps. Authors should be use Sentence Case Instead of All Caps (The first letter of the sentence start with All Caps and the others were given small caps except special phrase, name etc.) Line 18: Sentence shouldn't start with it. It can be used instead of CVL. 2. Geological Settings Line 54: Mt Cameroon → Mount Cameroon The abbreviations should be given as longer and abbreviation should be given in parentheses. Later usage will be use abbreviation. Eg: line 59 masl (Massive Lithic Breccia)	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Page 3: Line 79 Miocene → Miocene and younger.. (Who did study on Radiometric Aging should be given. 3. Field Study and Petrography Line 89: again abbreviation Brlm Page 5: Fig 5 explanation: Stratigraphic Section → stratigraphic columnar section Page 6: Fig 6. Explanation: Nikole situation and how many enlargement should be given in microphoto explanations (eg: //N or +N, 20x). Which microscope was used (ore, thin section etc) Page 7: Fig 7 explanation. The reference 33 were given by mistakenly Because last reference number is 16 and this reference have to be given as 17. Page 8: Line 189: The concept Rock elements is not suitable. Expression should be used instead as parts. Line 197 same problem. Element 18th and the next references should be reorder. Page 9: References References 3th and 12nd more than the number of authors in reference 6. Thus after 6 et al. should be updated.	
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		
---	--	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Turkey