



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJAST_17530
Title of the Manuscript:	Nozzle Shape Optimization to Achieve the Maximum Gain in the GDLs
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 110: P is defined as an unknown parameter vector with N components. This paper would be more readable if there was an attempt to define P in more practical terms. Line 142: In equation 13, for more clarity the arguments in the immediate neighbourhood of $P_j + \epsilon P_j$ in the first τ_i function and in the immediate neighbourhood of P_j in the second τ_i function. In equation (14), ϵ' needs to be defined. Lines 87 and 88: The sentence : "Here, the cell ..." is grammatically wrong and meaningless. It must be rewritten.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ette Harrison Etuk
Department, University & Country	Department of Mathematics, Computer Science, Rivers State University of Science and Technology, Nigeria