SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJAST_15679**
Title of the Manuscript:	**RESEARCH OF IMPLANTS COATING STRUCTURE AND DURABILITY**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. Lack of logic of whole paper, especially in abstract and introduction part** **2. Grammar errors occurred in whole paper** **3. Friction test is highly recommended** **4. No SEM images to show the microstructure of the coating** **5. Cite more reference to show what have been done by other researchers, especially in introduction part.** **6. The whole paper reads difficult.**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**USA**